Experimental and Theoretical Investigation of High-Power Laser Ionization and Dissociation of Methane
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The main purpose of this paper is to report the high-power laser ionization–dissociation of CH₄ at various femtosecond (fs) laser intensities (from 1 × 10¹⁴ W/cm² to 2 × 10¹⁵ W/cm²) with a laser pulse duration of 48 fs. The generalized molecular Keldysh theory has been applied to calculate the ionization yields for CH₄⁺ and CH⁺⁺. Outside the influence of the fs intense laser, we propose to calculate the mass spectra due to the decomposition of CH₄⁺ and CH⁺⁺, using the Rice–Ramsperger–Kassel–Marcus (RRKM) theory. The agreement between the experimental mass spectra and calculated mass spectra seems to be reasonable.

1. Introduction

The earliest experiment on the fragmentation of energy-selective methane (CH₄) ions was that of von Koch in 1964, in which the ions were generated by charge exchange. Later, the photoelectron photoion coincidence (PEPICO) techniques—particularly, the form that uses zero energy or threshold electrons (TREPICO)—has been used to examine the fragmentation of energy-selective CH₄ ions. The ground-state case has been studied in detail, and the Rice–Ramsperger–Kassel–Marcus (RRKM) theory has been used successfully to explain the experimental results. Recently, the application of an intense ultrashort laser to ionize and dissociate molecules has attracted considerable attention. The conventional knowledge of the high-power laser ionization–dissociation of molecules is that the molecule is first ionized in the intense laser field, followed by fragmentation of the molecular ions.

In this paper, we shall report the experimental results of the high-power laser ionization–dissociation of CH₄ performed using the 800-nm laser with a pulse duration of 48 fs and with a laser intensity that varies from 1.5 × 10¹⁴ W/cm² to 1.9 × 10¹⁵ W/cm². Depending on the laser intensity, both CH₄⁺ and CH⁺⁺ and their fragmented daughter ions can be observed. Recently, the fragmentation pattern of CH₄⁺ was experimentally studied at an intensity of ~10¹⁴ W/cm², with the laser duration varying from 8 fs to 110 fs. For the case in which the laser duration was 8 fs, only the primarily fragmental CH₃⁺ ion was observed, in addition to the parent CH₄⁺⁺ ion. When the laser duration was increased to 30 fs, CH₃⁺⁺ and H⁺ began to appear, and when the laser duration reached 110 fs, some doubly charged ions were also observed, in addition to the abundant singly charged ions. The field-assisted dissociation (FAD) model and quasi-classical trajectory (QCD) calculation were applied to predict the dissociation probability of CH₄⁺⁺. The calculated probability was corrected with the molecular orientation effect and the spatial distribution of laser intensity. The modified results indicated that the dissociation would require at least 23 fs and was saturated with long pulse widths (~100 fs).

The present paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the experimental setup and experimental results will be presented, which will be followed by the theoretical treatment of the high-power laser ionization of CH₄ (section 3). We will discuss the calculation of the dissociation patterns of CH₄⁺ and CH⁺⁺ in section 4. Discussion and the conclusion will be presented in section 5.

2. Experiment

2.1. Experimental Setup. Figure 1a schematically shows the experimental setup. We have used a commercial laser system (built by Spectra Physics) that consists of a Ti:sapphire oscillator (Tsunami), followed by a regenerative amplifier (spitfire). The 10 Hz seed from the spitfire passes through a two-pass Ti:sapphire amplifier and compressor. The laser pulses have a central wavelength of 800 nm and the compressed pulse has a pulse duration of 48 fs (fwhm), as measured by a single-shot auto-correlator (made by Positive Light), and the maximum output energy is 12 mJ/pulse.
The linearly polarized laser pulses are focused into the interaction chamber using a $f = 100$ cm focusing lens. A pyroelectric energy meter (Molecron EPM 1000) is placed behind the last mirror in the laser path before the compressor, to monitor the laser energy by detecting the leak from the mirror.

CH$_4$ molecules are injected into the high-vacuum chamber of the time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometer through a variable-leak valve. The background pressure was $5 \times 10^{-9}$ Torr, whereas the pressure of CH$_4$ molecules was set at different pressures, from $1 \times 10^{-8}$ Torr to $5 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr, depending on the intensity used. Higher pressures are used at lower intensities.

Figure 1. Experimental setup for (a) laser and time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometer, (b) ion detection, and (c) measurement of the focal point.

Figure 2. Some mass spectrum of CH$_4$ at different intensities.
to avoid the saturation of the ion detector (electron multiplier tube (EMT)). The CH₄ molecules interact with the laser pulses, and the parent and fragment ions are accelerated by a static field of 500 V/cm toward the ion detector through an opening 2 cm in diameter, followed by a field-free flight path of 60 cm. As shown in Figure 1b, there are two openings. One is located at the site marked P2 in Figure 1 and one is located at the entrance of the TOF tube. A fine metallic mesh is welded at both openings, to ensure a uniform electric field. The transmission of the mesh is ~99%. An EMT (Thorn EMI electron tube, type 105 EM) with a detection area of diameter $\varphi = 21.6$ mm was used to detect the ions. The signals at different laser energies were recorded using a 500 MHz oscilloscope (Tektronix TDS 504A). Measurements of the focal spot area and the averaged laser intensity have been performed outside the chamber, as shown in Figure 1c.

For the focal spot measurement, the focus is imaged onto a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (Cohu 4810) after the wedge. In this measurement, low pulsed energy is used while the wedge with good optical surface quality further reduces the energy of the pulse at the focus in air, so that ionization is avoided. The laser intensity at the focus was calculated from the laser pulse energy, the pulse duration, and the measured focal area.

2.2. Experimental Results. Figure 2 shows the evolution of mass spectrum of CH₄ at different laser intensities. Some of the ions peaks are saturated (flat-top peaks), to enhance the weaker peaks for inspection. In the quantitative measurement...
3. Theoretical Treatments of High-Power Laser Ionization of Molecules

In this section, we will show how to compute the molecular cations using the generalized Keldysh theory, which is developed from the original Keldysh theory by combining the molecular orbital (MO) theory and the Born–Oppenheimer (BO) approximation. The wave function for the electronic ground state of the molecules and molecular cations are obtained from ab initio calculations. For the ionized state, the ionized electron wave function is described by the Volkov continuum state,

\[ \psi_{\bar{p}}(\vec{r}, t) = \exp \left\{ \left[ (\vec{p} - eA(t)) \cdot \vec{r} - \frac{1}{2m_e} \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt' (\vec{p} - eA(t'))^2 \right] \right\} \]  

where \( e \) is the charge of the electron (\( e = -1 \)), \( \vec{p} \) is the momentum of the ionized electron, and, under the dipole approximation, the vector potential \( A(t) \) is given by \( A(t) = -\vec{F} \sin(\omega t)/\omega \) for the linearly polarized laser field \( \vec{F}(t) = \vec{F} \cos(\omega t) \).

The total electronic wave function of the molecule and molecular cation is expressed as

\[ \Psi_{M}(\vec{r}_1, ..., \vec{r}_{N_e}, t) = \Psi_{\bar{p}}(\vec{r}_1, ..., \vec{r}_{N_e}, t) + \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \psi_{\bar{p}}(\vec{r}_1, ..., \vec{r}_{N_e}, t) \]  

where \( N_e \) is the number of electrons and

\[ c_{\bar{p}}(t) = -i \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt' \Psi_{\bar{p}}(\vec{r}_1, ..., \vec{r}_{N_e}, t) |V_L(\vec{r}_1, ..., \vec{r}_{N_e}, t)| \Psi_{\bar{p}}(\vec{r}_1, ..., \vec{r}_{N_e}, t) \]  

with

\[ V_L(\vec{r}_1, ..., \vec{r}_{N_e}, t) = -\sum_{j=1}^{N_e} e \vec{r}_j \cdot \vec{F} \cos(\omega t) \]  

Therefore, by following the approach of Keldysh, the ionization rate constant \( k(\vec{F}) \) can be written as

\[ k(\vec{F}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{2\pi S}{d} |c_j|^2 \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} |L(\vec{p})|^2 \]  

\[ \sum_{N=\infty}^{\infty} \delta \left( I_0 + U + \frac{p^2}{2m} - N\omega \right) \]  

where \( c_j \) represents the coefficients of the linear combination of atomic orbitals (LCAO) MO, \( I_0 \) is the ionization potential of molecule, and \( U \) is the ponderomotive energy (\( U = (e\vec{F}^2)/4(4\pi\epsilon_0^2) \)). Here, \( d \) is the degeneracy of energy states, \( S = \sqrt{2} \) for the closed shell, and \( S = 1 \) for the open shell. Using the analytical continuation to the complex plane, \( L(\vec{p}) \) is given by

\[ L(\vec{p}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int du V[\bar{p} + (e\vec{F}/\omega)u] \exp \left( \frac{ij(u)}{\omega} \right) \]  

where

\[ j(u) = N\omega \left( \frac{1}{\omega} \right) \log \left( iu + (-i\sqrt{u - 1} + 1) \right) \]  

\[ \xi(-i\sqrt{u - 1} + 1) = Uu(-i\sqrt{u - 1} + 1) \]  

Here we have

\[ V_j(\vec{p}) = -K \left[ \frac{\vec{F} \cdot \vec{p}(\vec{p})}{m(I_0 + p^2/(2m^2))} - \frac{(\vec{F}_j)}{3(I_0 + p^2/(2m^2))} \right] \]  

where

\[ u = \sin(\omega t)/\omega \]  

\[ K = 96e^2\pi^2\omega \]  

\[ \xi = e\vec{p} \cdot \vec{F} \]  

Note that \( V_j(\vec{p} + e\vec{F} / \omega t) \) has two poles, at \( u = u_+ \) and \( u = u_- \), where

\[ u_+ = \gamma \left( \frac{p \cos \theta}{\sqrt{2mI_0}} + i \sqrt{1 + \frac{p^2 \sin^2 \theta}{2mI_0}} \right) = u^\ast \]  

and \( \gamma \) is the Keldysh parameter, which is given as

\[ \gamma = \frac{\omega \sqrt{2mI_0}}{|e|F} \]  

There are some possible choices of contour \( C \), and the details of the calculations for eq 3-6 are given in the work by Mineo et al.

For the multi-ionization of molecules, we consider the following sequential ionization process:

\[ M \rightarrow M^{+} \rightarrow M^{+\ast} \rightarrow M^{n\ast} \]  

where \( k_i \) is the photoionization rate constant of the \( M^{i\ast} \) cation. In the rate equation for eq 3-9 then can be written as

\[ \frac{d}{dt} A(t) = -k_i A(t) \]  

\[ \frac{d}{dt} A^{i\ast}(t) = k_i A^{(i-1)\ast}(t) - k_{i+1} A^{i\ast}(t) \]  

\[ \frac{d}{dt} A^{n\ast}(t) = k_n A^{(n-1)\ast}(t) \]  

with

\[ A(t_0) = [M]_0, \quad A^{i\ast}(t_0) = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, ..., n) \]  

(3-13)
where \( A^+(t) \) (for \( i = 0, 1, ..., n \)) represents the ionization yields of the molecule and molecular cations. Here, we remark that the photoionization rate constant is assumed to be almost independent of the laser time \( t \) during the pulse duration, which is checked in our previous work\(^{18} \) by taking into account the laser pulse for the generalized Keldysh theory. In ref 18, the laser has a Gaussian shape,

\[
\tilde{F}(t) \cos(\omega t) = \tilde{F}_0 \exp \left[ -\frac{(4 \ln 2) t^2}{(\Delta t)^2} \right] \cos(\omega t)
\]

where the \( \tilde{F}_0 \) is the peak amplitude and \( \Delta t \) is the full width at half-maximum (fwhm). We note that the pulse laser of a Gaussian shape is not used to calculate the rate constants.

As an outlook, it is very important to consider the time dependence of the pulse laser in solving the rate equations, because, in the actual ionizations, the single- and double-ionization processes will occur sequentially with some time difference, where the time dependence of the rate constant might have an important role.

By solving eqs 3-10 through 3-13, we obtain

\[
A(t) = A_0 \exp[-k_i(t-t_0)]
\]

\[
A^+(t) = A_0 \left( \prod_{j=1}^{i} k_j \right) \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{i} k_j \right) \left( k_i - k_j \right)
\]

\[
A^{++}(t) = A_0 \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} k_j \right) \left\{ \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} k_j} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} k_j \right) \left( k_i - k_j \right) \right\}
\]

(3-14)

(3-15)

(3-16)

It is also important to consider the spatial distribution of the laser pulse,\(^{26} \) and, similar to ref 18, we assume that the laser has a Gaussian shape of the spatial distribution with a width \( \Delta R \), i.e.,

\[
I(R) = I_0 \exp \left( -\frac{8R^2}{\Delta R^2} \right)
\]

The ionization yield then is dependent on time and \( R \) (i.e., \( A^+(t,R) \)), and we define the spatial averaged ionization yield as

\[
\bar{A}^+(t) = 4\pi \int_0^\infty dR R^2 A^+(t,R)
\]

(3-17)

In Figure 5a, we have plotted the photoionization rate constants of the first and second ionizations for \( \text{CH}_4 \), using the generalized Keldysh theory. The ionization potentials of molecular and molecular cation are calculated using the GAUSSIAN03 package\(^{27} \) and adopt the B3LYP method with STO-3G basis sets. The calculated ionization potentials are \( I_0 = 12.08 \) eV for the first ionization and \( I_0 = 19.76 \) eV for the second ionization. The laser wavelength is fixed at \( \lambda = 800 \) nm, and we set the time duration as \( \Delta t = 48 \) fs and the space width as \( \Delta R = 30 \) pm.\(^{26} \) If the laser intensity is \( I < 10^{14} \) W/cm\(^2 \), the first ionization rate is much faster than the second one by a factor of more than 10\(^4 \); on the other hand, if the laser intensity is close to \( I = 10^{15} \) W/cm\(^2 \), both the first and the second ionization rate constants are almost comparable. In Figure 5b, we also find that, similar to the rate constants, if the laser intensity is \( I < 10^{14} \) W/cm\(^2 \), the ionization yields of \( \text{CH}_4^+ \) are much larger than \( \text{CH}_4^{++} \) by a factor of more than 10\(^5 \), whereas if the laser intensity is close to \( I = 10^{15} \) W/cm\(^2 \), the ionization yields of \( \text{CH}_4^+ \) and \( \text{CH}_4^{++} \) are comparable. This fact indicates that possible fragmentations of \( \text{CH}_4^{++} \) can happen for a laser intensity of \( I \approx 10^{15} \) W/cm\(^2 \).

4. Theoretical Treatments of Dissociation of Molecular Ions

It is commonly believed that dissociation of a molecule under the influence of a high-power laser occurs via the initial ionization of the molecule in the intense laser field, followed by the fragmentation of molecular ions.

The dissociation of molecular ions induced by an intense femtosecond laser can proceed in two ways. Within the laser-pulse temporal duration and spatial distribution, the potential surfaces can be modified by the laser electric field, and if the laser intensity is strong enough, it can induce the ion dissociation during the laser duration. This type of dissociation is usually referred to as FAD\(^{19-21} \) and occurs in the femtosecond range. The second type of ion dissociation occurs outside the temporal and spatial influence of the femtosecond laser pulse. In this case, the ions are hot and unstable; this type of dissociation for reasonably large-sized ions can be treated by a statistical theory—typically, the RRKM theory (or QET, quasi-equilib-
According to the RRKM theory, the unimolecular dissociation rate constant \( k(E) \) can be expressed as

\[
k(E) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \left( \frac{W^q(E - E_0^q)}{\rho(E)} \right)
\]

where \( W^q(E - E_0^q) \) and \( \rho(E) \) denote the total number of states for the activated complex with activation energy \( E_0^q \) and the density of states for the reactant with energy \( E \), respectively. Application of the RRKM theory to the dissociation of \( \text{CH}_4^+ \) ions will be described in the following discussion.

To investigate the dissociation mechanism of methane ions, ab initio quantum chemical calculations of potential energy surfaces were performed for the ground and excited states of \( \text{CH}_4^+ \) and \( \text{CH}_4^{2+} \) ions and some of their fragments. Geometry optimizations for the ground state were performed using the B3LYP/cc-pVQZ method. After the geometry optimization, the energies of ions were refined by the CCSD(T)/cc-pVQZ ab initio calculations. The zero-point energy (ZPE) corrections to the total CCSD(T)/cc-pVQZ energies were computed using B3LYP/cc-pVQZ frequencies without scaling. Geometries and energies of excited states of \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{CH}_4^+ \) were calculated at the CASSCF /6-31+G* level, where nine active orbitals were occupied by eight and seven electrons for the \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{CH}_4^+ \) ions, respectively. To avoid any restrictions in the geometries of the transition states and intermediates, we did not use symmetry of the molecules in the excited-state calculations. All ab initio calculations were performed using the GAUSSIAN 03\textsuperscript{27} and MOLPRO 2002\textsuperscript{31} packages. To compute the dissociation rate constants of the ions, the RRKM and microcanonical variational transition-state theories\textsuperscript{28-30} have been applied. B3LYP/cc-pVQZ frequencies for all intermediates and transition states were used to perform the RRKM calculations.

### 4.1. \( \text{CH}_4^+ \) Dissociation

The multistep dissociation scheme for the \( \text{CH}_4^+ \) ion is presented in Figure 6. The following reactions occur without distinct transition states:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_4^+ & \rightarrow \text{CH}_3^+ + H \\
\text{CH}_3^+ & \rightarrow \text{CH}_2^+ + H \\
\text{CH}_2^+ & \rightarrow \text{CH}^+ + H \\
\text{CH}^+ & \rightarrow \text{C}^+ + H
\end{align*}
\]

In addition, the dissociation rate constant for these reaction channels can be computed by the variational TST theory. Because of the extremely short duration time of the laser pulses, we suppose that the secondary photon absorption by the reaction products is unlikely. Therefore, the appearance of the \( \text{CH}_3^+ \), \( \text{CH}_2^+ \), \( \text{CH}^+ \), and \( \text{C}^+ \) ions is dependent on the available internal energy of the initial \( \text{CH}_4^+ \) ions. Because of the significant difference between the equilibrium geometries of \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{CH}_4^+ \) in the ground electronic state (i.e., the Franck–Condon effect), ionization of the \( \text{CH}_4 \) molecule, followed by geometry relax-
Singly charged ions dissociation rate constants versus available internal energy of the fragments: (a) CH$_4^+$ = CH$_3^+$ + H and CD$_4^+$ = CD$_3^+$ + D, (b) CH$_3^+$ = CH$_2^+$ + H and CD$_3^+$ = CD$_2^+$ + D, and (c) CH$_2^+$ = CH$^+$ + H and CD$_2^+$ = CD$^+$ + D.

It is necessary to estimate the amount of internal energy of various ions in the reactions shown in eq 4-2 to predict the mass spectra. Dissociation of CH$_4^+$ occurs if the energy of vibration along the reaction coordinate exceeds the activation energy, i.e., the barrier height (or the heat of reaction, if the reaction does not have a distinct transition state). The probability of this event can be calculated using the statistical approach of the RRKM theory. For the parent CH$_4^+$ ion, the available internal energy is the part of the excitation energy beyond the ionization threshold, which is converted to vibration energy. After dissociation, the internal energy of secondary fragments is less than the energy of the parent molecule, because of the fact that the excess energy is distributed among the product species and can be approximated as being proportional to the ratio of internal degrees of freedom of the fragment and the parent molecule. The reaction coordinate should be excluded from the total numbers of internal degrees of freedom, because the kinetic energy along the reaction coordinate is supposed to be close to the barrier’s height and is already subtracted from the total internal energy. For the reaction CH$_2^+$ = CH$_3^+$ + H, the available internal energy of the CH$_3^+$ fragment is given as

$$E_1 = (E_0 - 36.6) \times \frac{6}{8}$$

where $E_0$ is the initial photon energy, 6 is the number of internal degrees of freedom of the CH$_3^+$ fragment, and 8 is the number of degrees of freedom of the CH$_4^+$ ion, excluding the reaction coordinate (9 – 1 = 8). According to the scheme presented in Figure 7, the barrier height for the reaction CH$_2^+$ = CH$_3^+$ + H is 120.7 kcal/mol, so the minimum energy of the initial photon, which can initiate this secondary reaction, is given as

$$E_{CH_4} = 120.7 \times \left(\frac{8}{6}\right) + 36.6 = 197.5 \text{ kcal/mol}$$

If the energy of excitation exceeds this value, CH$_3^+$ fragments are likely to be observed. For the reaction CH$_2^+$ = CH$^+$ + H,
the reaction barrier is 104.7 kcal/mol, and the initiation energy is given as

\[ E_{CH_2} = 104.7 \times \left( \frac{5}{3} \right) + E_{CH_3} = 372.0 \text{ kcal/mol} \]

For the reaction \( CH^+ \rightarrow C^+ + H \), the reaction barrier is 93.5 kcal/mol, and the initiation energy is given as

\[ E_{CH} = 93.5 \times \left( \frac{2}{1} \right) + E_{CH_2} = 559.0 \text{ kcal/mol} \]

In the last formula, we take into account the fact that the linear \( CH^+ \) fragment has only two rotational degrees of freedom. These results are briefly presented in Table 2. However, note that the decomposition of \( CH^+ \) cannot be described by a statistical theory such as the RRKM theory.

Excited-states calculations of the neutral \( CH_4 \) molecule reveal that the first excited state of the \( CH_4 \) molecule, with geometry close to that of the \( CH_4^+ \) ion, is unstable and easily decomposes to \( CH_3 \) and \( H_2 \) fragments via a barrier of 0.3 kcal/mol. However, no distinct transition states were observed for the reaction \( CH_4^+ \rightarrow CH_2^+ + H_2 \). The energy of the products is greater than the energy of the initial \( CH_4^+ \) ion by 54.2 kcal/mol.

Figure 8 shows that dissociation rate constants of the parent \( CH_4^+ \) ion and its fragments can be higher than \( 10^{12} \text{ s}^{-1} \) if the internal energy is larger than 100 kcal/mol. To estimate the influence of the isotope effect on the \( CH_4^+ \) dissociation, the \( CD_2^+, CD_3^+, \) and \( CD_4^+ \) dissociation rate constants are also included in Figure 6.

Figure 9 shows the branching ratios of the \( CH_4^+ \) dissociation products at three different values of available internal energies of the initial \( CH_4^+ \) ion, up to 1 ps after the ionization. The internal energy value of \( E_0 = 100 \text{ kcal/mol} \) is sufficient for the \( CH_4^+ \) dissociation, but it is less than that which is necessary for the \( CH_3^+ \) ion dissociation. Therefore, we have only two lines: one descending for the \( CH_4^+ \) ion concentration, and the other rising for the \( CH_2^+ \) ion concentration. The internal energy value of \( E_0 = 225 \text{ kcal/mol} \) (Figure 9b) is sufficient to initiate the \( CH_2^+ \) ion dissociation. In Figure 9b, the concentration of the \( CH_3^+ \) ion initially grows, but later decreases to the zero value in favor of the \( CH_2^+ \) ion production. The internal energy value of \( E_0 = 460 \text{ kcal/mol} \) (Figure 9c) is sufficient to initiate the \( CH_2^+ \) ion dissociation. In Figure 9c, the concentration of the \( CH_3^+ \) ion grows at a time interval of \( \sim 0.02 \text{ ps} \) and then decreases fast to the zero value. The concentration of the \( CH_2^+ \) ion also grows at a time interval of 0.1 ps, and then it decreases in favor of the \( CH^+ \) ion production. At this internal energy value, the production of \( C^+ \) is possible. However, because of the limitations of the RRKM theory, the production of \( C^+ \) is not shown in Figure 9c.

From the aforementioned discussion, we can see that the ionization and dissociation of a molecule are greatly dependent on the intensities of the intense femtosecond laser. This leads to the appearance of the behaviors of mass spectra as a function of laser intensities (see Figure 2).
eventually. Rate constants of the H elimination reaction via TS1 are given in Figure 11.

Because the $\text{CH}_4^{++}$ reaction occurs via distinct transition state, the initiating energy for this reaction is the barrier’s height at TS1, $E_{\text{CH}_4} = 14.5$ kcal/mol. The energy difference between the barrier’s height and the energy of products is converted to kinetic energies of the fragments. Using the same argument as for the $\text{CH}_4^{++}$ ion dissociation, the available internal energy of the $\text{CH}_3^+$ fragment is given as

$$E_1 = (E_0 - 14.5) \times (6)$$

where $E_0$ is the internal energy of the $\text{CH}_4^{++}$ dication. The initiation energy for the $\text{CH}_3^+$ ion dissociation is given as

$$E_{\text{CH}_3} = 120.7 \times (6) + 14.5 = 175.4 \text{ kcal/mol}$$

For the reaction $\text{CH}_2^+ \rightarrow \text{CH}^+ + \text{H}$, the initiation energy is given as

$$E_{\text{CH}_2} = 104.7 \times (\frac{5}{3}) + E_{\text{CH}_3} = 349.9 \text{ kcal/mol}$$

For the reaction $\text{CH}^+ \rightarrow \text{C}^+ + \text{H}$, the initiation energy is given as

$$E_{\text{CH}} = 93.5 \times (\frac{2}{1}) + E_{\text{CH}_2} = 536.9 \text{ kcal/mol}$$
Because the assumption that the energy difference between the barrier’s height and the energy of products is converted to the kinetic energies of the fragments is very crude, and energy difference between the initiation energies of the \( \text{CH}_4^+ \) and \( \text{CH}_4^{++} \) dissociation is small, we do not present kinetic curves for the \( \text{CH}_3^{++} \) dissociation here.

The \( \text{CH}_3^{++} \) dication is unstable and decomposes to produce \( \text{CH}_2^+ \) and \( \text{H}^+ \) ions. Similarly, the \( \text{CH}^{++} \) dication is unstable and decomposes to the ion pair \( \text{C}^+ + \text{H}^+ \). We had some difficulties in the calculation of the \( \text{CH}_4^{++} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2^+ + \text{H}_2^+ \) reaction pathway, because of the multideterminant character of the wavefunction of the \( \text{CH}_4^{++} \) ion in the transition-state.

Figure 13. \( \text{CH}_4 \) mass spectra calculated from the RRKM theory and the generalized Keldysh theory. The peak laser intensities are chosen as (a) \( I = 1.46 \times 10^{14} \text{W/cm}^2 \) and (b) \( I = 1.1 \times 10^{15} \text{W/cm}^2 \), which correspond to the intensities in Figure 2. In each figure, the upper one is the theoretical result, and the lower one is the experimental result, which is shown in Figure 2. The ion signals are given in arbitrary units, whereas the sum of all mass spectra is normalized to unity.
To determine the barrier’s height, we assumed that the C–H distances for both eliminating H atoms of the H_2^+ fragment in the transition-state geometry are the same. Therefore, the barrier’s height at TS3 can be overestimated.

4.3. CH_4^{++} Dissociation: Triplet State. The triplet state species of the CH_4^{++} ion (see Figure 12) easily decomposes to the CH_3^+ + H^+ ion pair through a relatively low barrier at TS3. The subsequent dissociation steps of the CH_3^+ fragment have already been discussed previously. No pathways leading to the CH_2^{++} fragment were found. It could be supposed that if this fragment can be created, the reaction might occur in an excited state.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

In section 3, we have shown how to calculate the ionization rates and ion yields of CH_4 as a function of laser intensities. In section 4, we have applied the RRKM theory to evaluate the rate constants of decomposition for CH_4^+ and CH_4^{++}. In this section, we shall compute the mass spectra and compare them with those experimentally reported in section 2.

From Figure 2, we can see that if the laser intensity is <10^{15} W/cm^2, only CH_4^+ ion and the ions resulted from the decomposition are produced; that is, the production of the CH_3^+ ion and its daughter ions are negligible. On the other hand, when the laser intensity reaches 10^{15} W/cm^2, the CH_4^+ ion and its daughter ions begin to be observable.

Qualitatively, the observed mass spectra shown in Figure 2 can be understood from Figures 7, 10, and 12. From these figures, we can see that H^+ and H_2^+ can only be produced from CH_4^{++} (in other words, only under the high laser intensity conditions). Figures 7, 10, and 12 show the mechanisms of the decomposition of CH_4^+ and CH_4^{++} (including the singlet and triplet cases) and the initiation energy required for a particular reaction to occur.

Figure 6 shows the decomposition rate constants of CH_4^+ at various initiation energies; the process of the decomposition of CH^+ is not included, because the RRKM theory cannot be applied in this case. For comparison, the decomposition rate constants for the deuterated species are also shown in Figure 6. For demonstration, some kinetic behaviors of these reactions, under certain particular conditions, are shown in Figure 9, based on the use of the RRKM theory. The initiation energies of CH_4^+ and CH_4^{++} are determined by the laser intensities used in the production of these ionic species (see section 3) and can be estimated from the generalized Keldysh theory for an applied laser intensity.

From the aforementioned discussion, we can see that, through the use of the theoretical results presented in sections 3 and 4, it is possible to construct mass spectra theoretically and compare the theoretical mass spectra with the experimental mass spectra shown in Figure 2. The results are shown in Figure 13; comparing Figure 13 with Figure 2, we can see that the signals of H_2^+, C^{+++}, (or CH_4^{++}), C^{++}, and CH_2^{++} are missing from the theoretical spectra for I = 1.9 \times 10^{13} W/cm^2 for the following reasons. The production of C^{+++} and CH_4^{++++} requires higher ionization energies, such as those observed for
CH$_4^{+}$ → CH$_4^{2+}$ and CH$_3^{+}$ → CH$_3^{2+}$, which are not available to us; the production of H$_2^+$ and CH$_2^{+}$ are predicted from the theory (see Figure 10), but, because of the fact that their transition states cannot be accurately determined from our level of ab initio calculation, their production is not shown in Figure 13. The products of C$_2^+$ and C$_3^+$ cannot be calculated from the RRKM theory, because of the smallness of their corresponding parent ions. Figure 2 shows that, when the laser intensity increases, the dissociation proceeds more, then the ion signal of C$_2^+$ will be stronger, because C$_3^+$ cannot dissociate any longer.

Recently, Wang et al.\(^9\) reported the pulse width effect on the dissociation of CH$_4^+$ in the intense femtosecond laser field (see Figure 13). These mass spectra are reproduced in Figure 14; the laser pulse widths vary from 60 fs to 180 fs at the laser peak intensity of $8.0 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$. We have computed the mass spectra using the same information discussed previously and shown them in Figure 14 for comparison. As can be seen from Figure 14, the energy dispersion must be included in the calculation to be able to reproduce the experimental spectra completely.

A similar study of high-power laser ionization and dissociation of CH$_2$ has also been reported by Wu et al.\(^1\) The same theoretical analysis of mass spectra can be performed but will not be performed in this paper.

In conclusion, in this paper, we have reported the mass spectra of the high-power laser ionization and dissociation of CH$_2$ with intensities varying from $1 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$ to $2 \times 10^{15}$ W/cm$^2$ and a laser pulse width of 48 fs. In our opinion, the field-assisted dissociation of CH$_2$ ions can occur only if the dissociation of ions happens during the exposure of ions to the laser, because, in this case, the potential surfaces of ions can be greatly modified by the intense laser field, then statistical theory such as the RRKM theory can be applied to calculate the observed mass spectra. This approach has been applied to analyze the experimental mass spectra. The agreement between the experimental spectra and the calculated results seems to be reasonable.
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